

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,782	02/06/2002	Gary L. Griffiths	018733-1089	5555
22428	7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	ID LARDNER	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	TON, DC 20007		1617	* / *
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

10/066782

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	AT	TY, DOCKET NO.	
				EX	EXAMINER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					6/27/04	
				DATE MAILED:		
	*					
	This is a communication fr COMMISSIONER OF PAT					
			OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY			
	_					
X	Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on	4/25/02			
	This action is FINAL.					
	Since this application is	in condition for allow	wance except for formal matters, prosecuti	ion as to the merits is o	losed in	
	accordance with the pra	actice under Ex parte	e Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
	, ,	,		month(s), or thir		
			communication. Failure to respond within C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtain			
	6(a).		or 5 root, Emorated or allow may to obtain			
Disp	osition of Claims					
	Claim(s)	1-16	20-48	is/are pending	in the application	
1	Of the above, claim(s)		20-48 4,48 20-27,30-47 28,29	is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
X	Claim(s)	1-14	4.4P	is	s/are allowed.	
X	Claim(s)		20-27, 30-47	is	/are rejected.	
M	Claim(s) Claim(s)		26, 29	is/al subject to restriction or el	re objected to. lection requirement.	
				,		
App	lication Papers					
		•	Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.			
			is/are objected	d to by the Examiner. is ☐ approved	disapproved	
_	The proposed drawing		niner	is [approved	disapproved.	
=	The oath or declaration	· ·				
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. §	119				
	•		in init dec 00 H C C			
LJ —	ŭ		eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
	Ali 🗌 Some* 🗒	None of the CEF	RTIFIED copies of the priority documents ha	ave been		
	received.					
		ition No. (Series Cod		·		
	received in this nat	tional stage applicati	on from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).		
*(Certified copies not rece	eived:		***********		
	Acknowledgment is ma	ide of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Atta	chment(s)					
	Notice of Reference Cit	ted, PTO-892	, /4//2			
X	Information Disclosure	Statement(s), PTO-	1449, Paper No(s). 2/66/02			
	Interview Summary, PT	O-413				
	Notice of Draftperson's	Patent Drawing Rev	/iew, PTO-948			
	Notice of Informal Pate	nt Application, PTO-	152			

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

Application/Control Number: 10/066,782

Art Unit: 1617

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-27, 30-47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,361,744. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claim 1 encompasses patented claim 1 regarding containers, whereas the patented claims encompass the instant claims regarding the enzyme, cytotoxic drug, and clearing agent.

Claims 1-14, 48 allowed.

Claims 20-27, 30-47 rejected.

Claims 28-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,782

Art Unit: 1617

Webman/tgd

June 29, 2004

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500